

# Web based survey before the stakeholder consultation

Research Report / July 2018



Fund operated by:



Fundația pentru  
Dezvoltarea  
Societății  
Civile



fundația pentru  
**parteneriat**



Centrul de  
Resurse  
pentru  
Comunitățile  
de Romi




FUNDAȚIA  
PACT  
ACCELERATOR



Frivillighet  
Norge

# Content



- ✓ Context
- ✓ Objectives and methodology
- ✓ Detailed Findings
  - Attitudes toward Programme focus
  - Overview on the proposed ways to support youth empowerment
  - Overview on the proposed ways to support roma empowerment
  - Attitudes toward underserved areas proposed
  - Overview on the proposed ways to approach capacity building of CSOs
  - Socio-demographic indicators

# Context

CONTEXT

MATTERS


Support to civil society is a key priority for the **EEA and Norway Grants 2014-2021**, funded by Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway in 15 EU Member States in Central and Southern Europe and the Baltics For further information about the EEA and Norway Grants 2014-2021.

The allocation to the Active Citizens Fund (ACF) in Romania is EUR 46,000,000.

- **The Consortium between the Civil Society Development Foundation, Romanian Environmental Partnership Foundation, Resource Center for Roma Communities, PACT Foundation and Frivillighet Norge (The Association of NGOs in Norway) have been appointed by the FMO as Fund Operator in an open and competitive tender process and will be responsible for the development and implementation the fund.**
- 
- **In light of the importance of feedback from the civil society stakeholders in shaping the ACF in Romania this Discussion Paper outlines the main challenges identified in the country and invites stakeholders to reflect on ways to address these challenges to obtain impact through the fund.**

# Objectives & Methodology

- The study asked CSOs to express their attitudes and perceptions on the following issues within the Discussion Paper:




- **Sample:** the sample is one of availability and has a total volume of 117 respondents. However, the sample differs from one question to another because not all respondents filled in in the whole questionnaire.
- **Method:** online survey, using the platform esurveyspro.com
- **Period of data collection:** 13-28 June

# Detailed Findings


# 1. Attitudes toward Programme Focus 1/3

To what extent do you agree with the problems and challenges identified for each of the outcomes within the Discussion Paper? (N=117 respondents)




# 1. Attitudes toward Programme Focus 2/3

To what extent do you agree with the appropriate target groups included within the Discussion Paper? (N=117 respondents)



# 1. Attitudes toward Programme Focus 3/3


To what extent do you consider relevant the following outputs / results proposed for each of the outcomes within the Discussion Paper? (N=117 respondents)






## 2. Overview on the proposed ways to support youth empowerment

To what extent do you consider a priority the following ways to support youth empowerment presented in the Discussion Paper? (N=114 respondents)




### 3. Overview on the proposed ways to support youth empowerment

To what extent do you consider a priority the following ways to support roma empowerment presented in the Discussion Paper? (N=112 respondents)



## 4. Attitudes toward underserved areas proposed


To what extent do you consider a priority the proposed underserved areas presented in the Discussion Paper? (N=112 respondents)



■ Not a priority ■ Low priority ■ Medium priority ■ High priority ■ Essential ■ I do not know / I do not answer

# 5. Overview on the proposed ways to approach capacity building of CSOs 1/2


**To what extent do you consider a priority the proposed ways to approach the capacity building of CSOs within the Discussion Paper? (N=109 respondents)**



■ Not a priority 
 ■ Low priority 
 ■ Medium priority 
 ■ High priority 
 ■ Essential 
 ■ I do not know / I do not answer


## 5. Overview on the proposed ways to approach capacity building of CSOs 2/2

To what extent do you consider a priority the proposed ways to approach the capacity building of CSOs within the Discussion Paper? (N=109 respondents)




## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 1/6

What type of organisation are you representing? (N=105 respondents)




## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 2/6

Which is your organisation period of functioning? (N=105 respondents)



## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 3/6


Which is the main field of activity of your organisation ?? (N=105 respondents)






## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 4/6

**What was the average total organization budget over the last three years?  
(N=105 respondents)**




## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 5/6

In what type of area does your organisation work? (N=105 respondents)




## 6. Socio-demographic indicators/aspects 6/6

County where the organisation has the headquarters  
(absolute values / N=105 respondents)



## 7. Respondents - Socio-demographic 1/2

Age distribution (N=105 respondents)



## 7. Respondents - Socio-demographic 2/2

**Gender distribution (N=105 respondents)**

